

September 18, 2003

Argument waived, cause submitted.

DIVISION TWO (Continued)

B162677 People
 v.
 Charles H.

Merits:
Argued by Walter Gordon for appellant and by Susan Frierson for respondent. Cause submitted.

B162300 People
 v.
 Anderson

Merits:
Argued by Carol Boyk for appellant and by Michael Whitaker for respondent. Cause submitted.

B160042 People
 v.
 Espinosa and Espinosa

Merits:
Argued by Maxine Weksler for appellant Samuel Espinosa and by Roy Preminger for respondent. Oral argument previously waived by appellant Jesus Espinosa. Cause submitted.

B162032 Messersmith
 v.
 Hawes

Merits:
Argued by Lauriann Wright for appellant and by Eric Hawes for respondent. Cause submitted.

DIVISION TWO (Continued)

B161245 Hogan et al.
 v.
 California Automobile Insurance Co.

Merits:
Argued by Scott Bernstein for appellants and by John Hager for respondent.
Cause submitted.

B160952 Southern California Painters & Allied Trades
 v.
 Nissim

Merits:
Argued by Gary Scalabrini for appellant and by Anthony Segall for
respondent. Cause submitted.

B151995 Seaspan Inc.
 v.
 Giacomini et al.

Merits:
Argued by Frederico Sayre for appellant and by David Wilson, Robert
Meyer, David McCann and Robert Marcerean for respondents. Cause
submitted.

Court in recess.

Court reconvened at 1:00 PM

Present: Boren, P.J., Nott, J., Doi Todd, J., Ashmann-Gerst, J. and Jim Guzman, Deputy
Clerk.

DIVISION TWO (Continued)

Each of the following:

B162163 People v. Tellez
B162373 People v. Degol
B157732 Walker v. City of Los Angeles
B164385 In re: Kiera M.
B162888 People v. Henderson
B163260 People v. Sherman
B164748 People v. Cardona
B164432 People v. Douglas S.

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B160201 People
 v.
 Cruz

Merits:

Argued by Allen Weinberg for appellant and by Russell Lehman for respondent. Cause submitted.

B163008 Parrott, et al.
 v.
 Mooring Townhomes Association

Merits:

Argued by Melanie Bingham for respondent and waiver of oral argument by appellant. Cause submitted.

B163008 Fair Employment and Housing Commission
 v.
 Superior Court, Los Angeles County
 (Las Brisas Apartments LTD et al., r.p.i.)

Merits:

Argued by Phyllis Cheng for petitioner and by Craig Mordoh for real parties in interest. Cause submitted.

DIVISION TWO (Continued)

B160933 People
 v.
 Carreles and Contreras

Merits:
Argued by Marilyn White-Redmond and Edward Haggerty for appellants
and by Thomas Hsieh for respondent. Cause submitted.

B163358 LaRoue
 v.
 Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors et al.

Merits:
Argued by Michael LaRoue for appellant and by Barry Thorpe for
respondent. Cause submitted.

B158777 Marshall
 v.
 Department of Health Services

Merits:
Argued by Michael Khouri for appellant and by Sandra Goldsmith for
respondent. Cause submitted.

Court Adjourned.

B141342 Mei Ling
 v.
 California Breeze Homeowners' Association
 David Wald

Filed order modifying opinion. (Change in judgment)

September 18, 2003 (Continued)

DIVISION THREE

9-18-2003

120628-03

THE HONORABLE ROGER W BOREN, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, is hereby assigned to assist the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, **Division THREE**, as a justice thereof, on the following date(s):

November 17, 2003

and until completion and disposition of all causes and matters submitted pursuant to this assignment including, in necessary by reason of a vacancy or disqualification of a Court of Appeal justice, all petitions for rehearing arising out of such causes and matters.

This assignment does not extend to any matter in which the panel would be composed of two justices pro tempore.

September 16, 2003

Ronald M. George
Chief Justice of California and
Chairperson of the Judicial council

DIVISION FOUR

B159782 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)
v.
Merritt H.

The appeal from the order of June 28, 2002, is dismissed as moot.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.
 Curry, J.

September 18, 2003 (Continued)

DIVISION FOUR (Continued)

B156250 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Park

The judgment is affirmed.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Epstein, J.
Curry, J.

B160288 California School of Culinary Arts (Certified for Publication)
v.
Arthur Lujan, as Labor Commissioner, etc., et al.

The judgment is affirmed.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Epstein, J.
Curry, J.

B158413 Ortega (Not for Publication)
v.
Dixon

The orders are affirmed.

Curry, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.
Epstein, J.

DIVISION FOUR (Continued)

B161881 Medina, et al. (Not for Publication)
v.
Board of Retirement, Los Angeles County Employees Retirement
Association, et al.

The judgment is affirmed.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.
 Curry, J.

B162465 Finley (Not for Publication)
v.
Finley

The orders of the trial court are affirmed. The matter is remanded to the trial court to determine what award, if any, Hadia is entitled to receive for attorney fees incurred on appeal.

Curry, J.

We concur: Epstein, Acting P.J.
 Hastings, J.

B161678 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Guillen

The trial court is directed to prepare and forward to the Department of Corrections an amended abstract of judgment deleting the finding and sentence for the Penal Code section 12022.53, subdivision (d) enhancement on count 1, attempted voluntary manslaughter. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.
 Curry, J.

DIVISION FOUR (Continued)

B161395 Margaret Armelin (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Mechellet Armelin

The judgment is reversed. Appellant(s) to recover costs.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Epstein, J.
 Hastings, J.

B150524 The People ex rel. Allstate Insurance Company (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Hishman Muhyeldin, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Allstate will recover reasonable costs and attorney fees on appeal, the amount of which will be determined by the trial court.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Epstein, J.
 Curry, J.

B159215 Violeta J. Rodriguez, as Trustee, etc. (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Santa Clarita Medical Partners, et al.

The judgment is reversed and the matter is remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Plaintiff is to recover costs on appeal.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.
 Curry, J.

September 18, 2003 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE

B160196 People (Not for Publication)
v.
David Emile Hebert

The judgment is affirmed.

Armstrong, J.

We concur: Grignon, Acting P.J.
Mosk, J.

B164449 Lavell Anthony Jackson (Not for Publication)
v.
Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(The People, r.p.i.)

The petition for writ of mandate is granted. The respondent court shall within 30 days of the issuance of the remittitur issue an order to show cause on defendant's December 7, 2001, habeas corpus petition and proceed to rule in compliance with rule 4.551.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Grignon, J.
Mosk, J.

DIVISION SIX

B158551 Kitty-Anne Music Co., et al. (Certified for Publication)
v.
Donald Swan

The judgment is affirmed. Kitty-Anne shall bear costs on appeal.

Gilbert, P.J.

We concur: Coffee, J.
Perren, J.

September 18, 2003 (Continued)

DIVISION SEVEN

B161559 People
v.
Juan G., a minor

Filed order modifying opinion and certifying opinion for publication.
(No change in the judgment)

B164765 In re Abraham L., et al., minors
Abraham L., et al.
v.
Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(D.C.F.S., Los Angeles County, r.p.i.)

Filed order modifying opinion and certifying opinion for publication.

DIVISION EIGHT

B154542 People
v.
White

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is modifying by striking the enhancement pursuant to Penal Code section 12022.5, and as so modified is affirmed.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.
Boland, J.

B158985 Lozano (Not for Publication)
v.
City Of Carson

The judgment is affirmed.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.
Boland, J.

DIVISION EIGHT (Continued)

B154945 Reno (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Holmes, et al.

(1) The judgment is affirmed with respect to defendants Holmes and Mandell. (2) With respect to defendant Hubbell, the judgment is reversed. (3) With respect to defendants Hasselhoff and The Baywatch Production Co., the judgment is reversed, with directions to enter an order summarily adjudicating that the sixth through ninth causes of action lack merit as to those defendants, and denying their motions for summary judgment or summary adjudication as to the fourth and fifth causes of action. (4) The postjudgment order is affirmed. (5) The parties shall bear their own costs.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.
 Boland, J.